## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

## United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

riitoa Otatoo oi 7 tiriori	oa
V.	
Melvin Lee Carter	
Defendent	

	V.	
	Melvin Lee Carter  Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00240-RHB
		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	• •	rt I – Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense des	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ffense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mo	S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for one.
	an offense for which the maximum sen	ence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prisor	term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparation	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ole state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violenc a minor victim	e but involves:
	the possession or use of a a failure to register under 1	firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 8 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was com or local offense.	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed sin offense described in finding (1).	ce the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable person or the community. I further find that d	e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another efendant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alt	ternative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de	efendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ter Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt will reasonably assure the defendant's appear	ion established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions rance and the safety of the community.
(4)		ternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	
<u>√</u> (2)		endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		nent of the Reasons for Detention
evidence 1. Defen 2. Defen 3. Defen 4. Defen 5. There	a preponderance of the evidence that: dant has a significant criminal history. dant has a history of substance abuse. dant has previously violated terms of supervis	and has previously been in both absconder and escape status.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 26, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	